Service Specification Checklist

|  |
| --- |
| **Rationale of Checklist** |
| This checklist will be completed by the CPS officers for every new or recommissioned service specification sent to CPS for comment/consultation. The response summary is completed after consultation and agreement by the sub-committee.  The Checklist contains the CPS officers’ comments / recommendations for any requested changes to the proposed/draft service specification in order to achieve/improve further the green rating. It will be sent to the service commissioner for consideration of amendments ideally prior to go-live of the service.  CPS purpose is to work positively with commissioners to ensure high quality outcomes from the service, which are both professionally and commercially viable for contractor participation. |
| **Service and Commissioner** |
| Naloxone Provision  CGL Sefton |
| **Response summary feedback from CPS** |
|  |
| CPS has rated this service specification as **RED** based on the comments made below. Our recommended actions to further improve the service are:   * *Provide absolute clarity on VAT treatment even if not applicable* * *Require an SOP review every 2 years for stability* * *Consider using NHS standard contract* * *Consider clarity on whether the contractor can terminate their end of the agreement with notice* * *Consider naming the contractor as an additional data controller* |
| **Timeline and Next Steps for CPS** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Point Covered** | **Action or Notes** | **RAG** |
| **CPS Consultation** | | |
| CPS Consulted? | *Yes* |  |
| CPS Consulted with sufficient time to comment?  (3 months for a service specification and 4 months for a PGD) | *No* |  |
| **Remuneration** | | |
| Does remuneration include/cover set up costs and payment for attendance at required training events? | *No*  *No training however SOP required so setup costs not considered as per CPE calculator* |  |
| Does remuneration include consumable costs? | *NA*  *No consumables required* |  |
| Is VAT treatment considered? | No  Absolute clarity not provided in payment table, consider using format ‘£xx + %VAT’ |  |
| Does the payment structure use a system that is suitable for all contractors and are the payment terms acceptable? | *Yes*  *PharmOutcomes is used* |  |
| Where equipment is required, who provides/calibrates/services this? If contractor, does remuneration sufficiently cover the cost of this? | *NA, equipment is not required for this service* |  |
| Is remuneration fair?  *(Service fees will be benchmarked against national services, and will be calculated using the CPE calculator)* | Yes, in line or exceeding the CPE calculator |  |
| **Is/does the Service …** | | |
| Does the service specification include a start / end date | Yes  1st December 2024 – 30th November 2025 |  |
| What is the type of contract?  (NHS Standard, Public Health, Bespoke, 3rd Party Provider) | Bespoke SLA (LA / Third Party) |  |
| Clinically sound and in line with appropriate national or local guidance? | Yes  Includes safe syringe disposal guidance alongside instructions for safe injection etc. |  |
| Have suitable monitoring arrangements? | Yes  Via PharmOutcomes |  |
| Have suitable a termination clause? | No  CGL may terminate agreement with 3 months’ notice but no clarity for the other party |  |
| **Service Delivery** | | |
| Are the performance measures reasonable and achievable? | Yes |  |
| Is the administration proportional to size of service and remuneration? | Yes |  |
| Is there a SOP required to be provided by the contractor? | Requires an SOP which is reviewed every year |  |
| Are any incident reporting systems suitable to all contractors? | Requires use of LFPSE / internal reporting via the Head Office  Pharmacy required to have own robust incident reporting alongside reporting to CGL generic email |  |
| Is the training required for the service reasonable? | *Uses CPPE declaration of competence where available, or locally developed training materials agreed with CPS* |  |
| Are support arrangements in place? | *Yes*  *Appendix 3 – clear key contacts outlined* |  |
| Is there a clear definition of roles with regard to Data Controller within IG?  Describe it. | *The contractor is only named as a data processor* |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Miscellaneous Information** | |
| Any other information specific to this service |  |
| Overall Score | 23/36 |
| Overall RAG Rating |  |
| Completed by | Edward Murphy |

Scoring System

Points Allocation for Each Element

Each element will be allocated points as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RAG** | **Points** |
| Red | 0 |
| Amber | 2 |
| Green | 2 |
| N/A | 0 |

Overall RAG Classification by Percentage

The maximum possible score ranges from 32 to 40, depending on the number of elements marked as N/A.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RAG** | **Percentage** |
| Red | 44% or less |
| Amber | 45-79% |
| Green | 80% and over |

Remuneration Score

The overall RAG rating will be adjusted based on the "Is remuneration fair?" rating:

* If the RAG rating is **Red**, the service will receive an **overall Red rating**
* If the RAG rating is **Amber**, the service will receive an **overall Amber rating**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RAG** | **Percentage** |
| Red | Less than 75% of the CPE calculator |
| Amber | Between 75-99% of the CPE calculator |
| Green | In line with or exceeding the CPE calculator |